

Anatomy of a physics engine

Alan Hazelden

alan@draknek.org

<http://www.draknek.org/>

Who am I?

- Studied Computer Science 2005-2009
 - 3rd and 4th year projects: physics engines
- Hobbyist game developer
 - Terrible Tiny Traps
 - Super Collide-Em-Up
 - Happy Happy Brick Catch

What is a physics engine?

- Simulates movement of objects
 - Position; orientation
 - Velocity; rotational velocity
- Models constraints between objects
 - Most common: non-penetration
 - Also: joints, friction, springs, buoyancy
- Here's one I made earlier...

Demo

(A demo is worth a thousand pictures)

Large Polygon Collider

4th year group project 2008-2009

<http://ipc.draknek.org/>

What's the point?

- Games
 - Almost always need non-penetration
 - Almost always need collision detection
 - Almost always need collision resolution
- A physics engine provides all these
 - To some approximation of reality
 - But you may or may not want reality

How does this relate to graphics?

- Same areas of maths
 - Vectors
 - Matrices
- Some shared algorithms
 - Collision pruning/visibility culling
 - Point-in-polygon test
- Interactive technology
 - Real-time requirements
 - Always needs to be faster

Accuracy vs. efficiency

- True physics is computationally ridiculous
- We want plausibility not accuracy
- So for a real-time system we simplify things
 - Move objects and then resolve problems
 - Simplify collision geometry
 - “Sleep” non-moving objects
- If we can fake something, we probably should

Two types of physics engine

- Mass-aggregate systems
 - Everything is a particle
 - Soft-body physics
 - Fluid simulation
 - Good for GPUs
- Rigid body simulators
 - Everything has position **and** orientation
 - Good for solid objects

Structure of a physics engine

1. Broadphase

- Determines which objects could potentially be colliding

2. Generate contacts

- Performs collision detection and finds contacts

3. Resolve contacts

- Find new (valid) positions for all objects

1. Broadphase (collision culling)

- Brute force collision testing would take $O(n^2)$ comparisons
- We can rule some collisions out very quickly
 - Bounding boxes
 - Exploiting spacial coherence
 - Exploiting temporal coherence

2. Collision detection

- Bad collision detection means bad physics
- Different levels of collision detection:
 - Intersection
 - Are these two shapes touching?
 - Collision
 - If these two shapes touch, tell me how and where
 - Temporal collision
 - Tell me how, where and also when

3. Collision Resolution


- Given the collision information:
 - Find the new state of all bodies
 - New velocities
 - New positions
- In a particle system (no rotation), simple:
 - Conservation of momentum
 - Coefficient of restitution

Broadphase (collision culling)

- Many implementations:
 - Bounding boxes for all pairs
 - Regular grid
 - Quadtree/Octree
 - BSP tree (binary space partitioning)
 - Hierarchy of bounding shapes
 - Sort and sweep algorithm


Intersection tests

- **Point in circle**




Intersection tests

- Point in circle
- **Point in AABB**




Intersection tests

- Point in circle
- Point in AABB
- **Point in OBB**




Intersection tests

- Point in circle
- Point in AABB
- Point in OBB
- **Point in convex polygon**




Intersection tests

- Point in circle
- Point in AABB
- Point in OBB
- Point in convex polygon
- **Point in concave polygon**




Intersection tests

- Point in circle
- Point in AABB
- Point in OBB
- Point in convex polygon
- Point in concave polygon
- **Circle-circle**




Intersection tests

- Point in circle
- Point in AABB
- Point in OBB
- Point in convex polygon
- Point in concave polygon
- Circle-circle
- **AABB-AABB**



Contact generation


- Information generally needed:
 - Contact point
 - Contact normal
 - Amount of penetration



- For convex shapes in 2D, this isn't too hard
 - Concave shapes more difficult
 - 3D **much** more difficult


Collision resolution

- Remove penetration




Collision resolution

- Remove penetration
- Calculate new velocities




Collision resolution

- Remove penetration
- Calculate new velocities
 - Apply impulse at contact
 - Conservation of momentum
 - Coefficient of restitution




Collision resolution

- Remove penetration
- Calculate new velocities
 - Apply impulse at contact
 - Conservation of momentum
 - Coefficient of restitution
 - Includes rotation




Collision resolution

- Remove penetration
- Calculate new velocities
 - Apply impulse at contact
 - Conservation of momentum
 - Coefficient of restitution
 - Includes rotation
 - Includes friction



Collision resolution

- Remove penetration
- Calculate new velocities
 - Apply impulse at contact
 - Conservation of momentum
 - Coefficient of restitution
 - Includes rotation
 - Includes friction
 - All at once



Collision resolution

- So we can resolve each contact
- But solving one may make another worse
- Could solve simultaneously
 - Build a massive LCP matrix
 - But not in real-time
- Instead, iterate over contacts repeatedly
 - Converge on global solution
 - Can balance computation time against accuracy

Putting it all together

- Every frame:
 - All bodies are moved simultaneously
 - Pairs of potentially colliding bodies are detected
 - Detailed contact information is generated
 - The collision resolver is run
 - Velocities are updated
 - Penetration is removed
 - All bodies are drawn at their new positions

Creating a physics engine


- Do you hate yourself?
- Do you have several years of your life to spare?
- Requirements:
 - Excellent maths skills
 - Excellent programming skills
 - Excellent patience
- Incredibly rewarding
 - Eventually

References




Real-Time Collision Detection
Christer Ericson

References



Game Physics
David Eberly



Game Physics Engine Development
Ian Millington

Online resources

- Erin Catto
 - <http://www.gphysics.com/>
 - Box2D Lite: <http://box2d.org/>
- Glenn Fiedler
 - <http://www.gaffer.org/game-physics>
- Chris Hecker
 - http://chrishecker.com/Rigid_Body_Dynamics
- Thomas Jakobsen
 - <http://www.teknikus.dk/tj/gdc2001.htm>

2D physics engines

- Box2D
 - <http://www.box2d.org/>
- Chipmunk
 - <http://wiki.slembcke.net/main/published/Chipmunk>
- Farseer
 - <http://www.codeplex.com/FarseerPhysics>
- Large Polygon Collider
 - <http://www.draknek.org/physics/>

3D physics engines

- Bullet
 - <http://www.bulletphysics.com/>
- Open Dynamics Engine
 - <http://www.ode.org/>
- Havok
 - <http://www.havok.com/tryhavok>
- Large Polygon Collider
 - <http://www.draknek.org/physics/> (awful)

How to get a good mark

Practice!

Warwick Game Design 48 Hour Competition

This weekend: B2.04/5
5:00 Friday 26th to 6:00 Sunday 28th

Questions?